

0959-8049(95)00473-4

Results of a Phase II Trial with Cystemustine in Advanced Malignant Melanoma. A Trial of the EORTC Clinical Screening Group

V. Urosevic,¹ Ph. Chollet,¹ A. Adenis,²
J. Chauvergne,³ P. Fargeot,⁴ H. Roche,⁵
P. Kerbrat,⁶ M.A. Lentz,⁷ P. Fumoleau⁸ and
B. Chevallier⁹

¹Centre Jean Perrin, 58 rue Montalembert, B.P. 392, 63011 Clermont-Ferrand Cedex 1; ²Centre Oscar Lambert, Rue Frédéric Combemale, B.P. 307, 59020 Lille Cedex; ³Fondation Bergoinie, 180 rue de Saint-Genès, 33076 Bordeaux Cedex; ⁴Centre Georges-François Leclerc, 1 rue du Professeur Marion, 21034 Dijon Cedex; ⁵Centre Claudius Regaud, 20–24 rue du Pont-Saint-Pierre, 31052 Toulouse Cedex; ⁶Centre Eugène Marquis, Rue de la bataille Flandre-Dunkerque, B.P. 6279, 35062 Rennes Cedex, France; ⁷EORTC Data Centre, Avenue E. Mounier, 8311, 1200 Bruxelles, Belgium; ⁸Centre René Gauducheau, Site Hospitalier Nord, Boulevard Jacques Monod, 44805 Saint-Herblain Cedex; and ⁹Centre Henri Becquerel, Rue d'Amiens, 76038 Rouen Cedex, France

MALIGNANT MELANOMA represents only 2% of malignant tumours [1] and is responsible for 65% of deaths from skin cancer [2]. When distant metastases appear, the patient's survival expectancy falls dramatically to a few months [3]. At this stage of the disease, surgery is rarely curative and radiotherapy is only palliative [4]; the best single agent of chemotherapy is DTIC with an average response rate of 22%, similar to that of interferon alpha 2b in biological approaches to therapy. We report here the results of a phase II study conducted by the EORTC Clinical Screening Group in disseminated malignant melanoma with a new nitrosourea, "cystemustine", at a dose of 60 mg/m² every 2 weeks.

Eligibility criteria for patients included at least one measurable, metastatic, not previously irradiated target, a WHO performance status (PS) of 0–2, normal white blood cell and platelet count and normal renal and liver function. In addition, no previous chemotherapy was acceptable except DTIC and/or immunotherapy previous to patient inclusion. All patients provided written consent.

Cystemustine was given intravenously at a dose of 60 mg/m² infused for 15 min in 100 ml 5% dextrose. The treatment plan

Table 1. Maximal haematological and non-haematological toxicity observed

WHO grade (%)	0	1	2	3	4
White blood cells	13 (59)	2 (9)	7 (32)	—	—
Neutrophils	14 (64)	3 (14)	3 (14)	2 (9)	—
Platelets	17 (77)	1 (5)	3 (14)	1 (5)	—
Nausea/vomiting	9 (41)	5 (23)	7 (32)	1 (5)	—
Diarrhoea	20 (91)	1 (5)	—	—	—
Alopecia	20 (91)	1 (5)	—	—	—
Fever	21 (95)	—	1 (5)	—	—
Others	16 (73)	1 (5)	1 (5)	—	—

consisted of one administration every 2 weeks with a minimum of four injections. The target measurement was assessed before the third and fifth infusions, then every 2 months. In responding or stable patients, the treatment was continued until progression or excessive toxicity, to a maximum of 12 months. The injection was postponed for 1 week, if at day 14 the granulocyte count fell below 1500/μl or the platelet count below 100 000/μl. A WHO grade III or IV thrombocytopenia resulted in a dose reduction to 45 mg/m² for the subsequent courses. If the treatment had to be delayed for more than 3 weeks, the patient was withdrawn from the study.

25 patients were included, and 3 were ineligible: 2 because of previous treatment limitations, and 1 because of previously irradiated targets. There were 9 men and 13 women, the median age was 54 (range 22–69), 13 patients had a PS of 0, 4 PS1 and 5 PS2, 6 patients had received previous radiotherapy, 5 previous chemotherapy and 5 previous immunotherapy. 22 patients were eligible and evaluable for response and toxicity. 2 had a partial response (28+ and 24+ weeks), 5 had stable disease and 15 had progressive disease, giving an overall response rate of 9% (confidence interval 1.12–29.2%). However, the patient with previously irradiated targets, although ineligible, had a partial response lasting 17 weeks.

The toxicity is given in Table 1. 9% of patients experienced WHO grade 3 toxicity for neutrophils, 5% had grade 3 thrombopenia and 5% had grade 3 nausea and vomiting. No other important toxicity was observed and overall tolerance was good. One responding patient underwent surgical resection of a brain metastasis. A complete pathological remission on this cerebral site was seen at pathological examination.

Cystemustine has limited clinical activity on metastatic malignant melanoma. The response rate was low. Due to the observed low toxicity, a new phase II trial with an increased dose is ongoing to evaluate a possible better antitumour activity.

1. Laurent R, Zultak M. Les mélanomes malins cutanés. *Encycl Méd Chir Dermatologie (Paris, France)*, 1988, 12, 760, A10–7.
2. Mastrangelo MJ, Baker AR, Katz HR. Cutaneous melanoma. In De Vita VT Jr, Hellmann S, Rosenberg SA, eds. *Cancer. Principles and Practice of Oncology*. Philadelphia, JB Lippincott, 1985, 1371–1422.
3. Karakousis CP, Moore R, MS, Holyoke ED. Surgery in recurrent malignant melanoma. *Cancer* 1983, 52, 1342–1345.
4. Avril MF, Bonnetterre J, Cupissol D, et al. Fotemustine plus dacarbazine for malignant melanoma. *Eur J Cancer* 1992, 28A, 1807–1811.
5. Tisserant A, Curé H, Souteyrand P, et al. Pilot phase II trial of a new nitrosourea: cystemustine in disseminated malignant melanoma (abstract). *Proc 7th NCI–EORTC Symposium on New Drugs in Cancer Therapy*, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 17–20 March 1992: Abst. 295.

6. Adenis A, Chauvergne J, Fargeot P, *et al.* Results of a phase II trial with cystemustine in advanced malignant melanoma. Proceedings of the XVIIth Congress of the European Society for Medical Oncology, Lyon, France, 7–10 November 1992: Abst. 182.
7. Chollet Ph, Adenis A, Chauvergne J, *et al.* Results of two phase II trials with cystemustine in advanced malignant melanoma. Proceedings of the 8th NIC-EORTC Symposium on New Drugs in Cancer Therapy, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 15–18 March 1994: Abst. 290.

European Journal of Cancer Vol. 32A, No. 1, pp. 182–183, 1996.
Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.
Printed in Great Britain
0959-8049/96 \$15.00 + 0.00

0959-8049(95)00518-8

Octreotide Treatment of Chemotherapy-induced Diarrhoea

P. Ginopoulos,¹ Th. Papagiannis,²
Ch. Safridi,² F. Karvelas,³ K. Spyropoulos,⁴
Th. Papadas⁵ and Ch. Gogos²

¹Oncology Unit, ²Internal Medicine Department,
⁴Respiratory Unit and ⁵ENT Department, University
Hospital, Medical School of Patras, Patras; and ³Surgery
Department, "Agios Andreas" Hospital, Patras, Greece

THE AIM of the present study was to investigate the efficacy of octreotide, a somatostatin analogue, in the treatment of diarrhoea caused by 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) chemotherapy.

It has been estimated that 10–15% of patients under 5-FU chemotherapy suffer from gastrointestinal toxicity, including diarrhoea and/or stomatitis, oesophagitis and gastritis [1, 2]. Diarrhoea can sometimes be so severe that not only is chemotherapy interrupted but hydration and electrolyte abnormalities of the patient also have to be corrected, requiring hospital admission. Uncontrollable diarrhoea has so far been treated with antidiarrhoeal drugs, such as loperamide and diphenoxylate but without good results [3, 4]. These drugs act mainly at the level of intestinal smooth muscle fibres causing a reduction in motility and, thus, a reduced frequency of diarrhoea. Possibly, they also play a role in the reduction of gastrointestinal excretions.

In 1990, Kennedy and associates published a study [5] reporting the successful treatment of diarrhoea by the use of octreotide. It has been well demonstrated that somatostatin analogues, especially octreotide, have antidiarrhoeal action [6, 7]. Various studies have been published on the subject of treating severe diarrhoea of patients suffering from AIDS or other syndromes [8, 9].

The exact action of octreotide remains unknown. There are many hypotheses to explain its antidiarrhoeal action [10, 11]. In general, it is known that it interferes with various cell functions resulting in a reduction of gastrointestinal system excretions.

More specifically, it is suggested that it can block the adenyl cyclase system and cause reduction of the calcium ion influx into the cell. In addition, it is reported that it can decrease the gastrointestinal motility and also exert an action on the ileocaecal valve that decreases the flow of ileal contents into the caecum.

The side-effect profile of octreotide includes nausea, diarrhoea, abdominal pain and pain at the injection site. Special care should be provided to patients with diabetes, since octreotide can alter blood glucose levels.

The present clinical study was conducted under the Helsinki Principles and local regulations. As far as dosage is concerned, the existing data recommend a dose range of 100–800 µg/day s.c. divided in multiple injections. We used 300 µg/day (divided in three aliquots) for 18 ambulatory cancer patients passing up to 10 stools per day and not requiring hospitalisation for fluid and electrolyte support. 4 patients who passed more than 10 stools per day were admitted to the hospital and received rehydration, electrolyte normalisation and continuous octreotide i.v. administration of 800 µg/day. We observed that diarrhoea tended to be more severe in patients with coexisting mucositis and leucopenia.

There were 14 male and 8 female patients included in the study, with a mean age of 58 years (range 43–75 years). Seven patients had breast cancer with hepatic and/or pulmonary metastases and received Super FAC (5-FU, doxorubicin, cisplatin) chemotherapy. 13 patients had colorectal cancer with hepatic, pulmonary or lymph node metastases. They received the following chemotherapy regimens: (a) 5-FU at a dose of 600 mg/m² for 5 days, (b) leucovorin (LV) at a dose of 500 mg/m² for the first day of treatment only and (c) interferon (IFN) alpha-2a (3 patients only) at a dose of 9 × 10⁶ U on days 1, 3 and 5 of treatment. 2 more patients had pancreatic cancer with hepatic metastases and received FAM (5-FU, doxorubicin, mitomycin C) treatment.

Our results show that no patient to whom octreotide was administered, either by the subcutaneous (300 µg/day) or the intravenous route (800 µg/day), showed any side-effect due to octreotide, except 2 patients who had mild abdominal distension. Our treatment response criterion was either complete remission of diarrhoea or reduction to one/day. Treatment was discontinued immediately after diarrhoea resolved without relapse. Of the patients who received s.c. treatment, diarrhoea was resolved in 5 patients (4 with 1–4 bowel movements/day, and 1 with 4–7/day) on day 1, in 5 patients (1 with 1–4 bowel movements/day and 4 with 4–7/day) on day 2, in 7 patients on day 3 and 1 patient on day 4 (all 8 with 7–10 bowel movements/day). Of the patients treated with i.v. therapy, diarrhoea resolved in 1 patient on day 3, 2 patients on day 4 and 1 patient on day 1.

Our study results are similar with those reported by Cascinu and associates [12], although the authors did not mention the number of bowel movements (in comparison with the day of diarrhoea remission, a clinical parameter that we consider significant). In conclusion, the results of the present study indicate that octreotide is a promising agent for the successful control of 5-FU-induced diarrhoea.

1. Grem JL, Shoemaker DD, Petrelli NJ, *et al.* Severe and fatal toxic effects observed in treatment with high and low dose leucovorin plus 5-Fluorouracil for colorectal carcinoma. *Cancer Treat Rep* 1987, 71, 1122.
2. Arbuck SG. Overview of clinical trials using 5-Fluorouracil and leucovorin for the treatment of colorectal cancer. *Cancer*, 1989, 63, 1036–1044.

Correspondence to P. Ginopoulos, 104A Iroon Polytechniou Str., Patras, Greece.
Revised and accepted 20 Sep. 1995.